

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/478,508	01/06/2000	KATSUMI MIYATA	991527	1796	
23850	7590 11/08/2002				
ARMSTRO	ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP			EXAMINER	
1725 K STREET, NW. SUITE 1000			GRAYBILL, DAVID E		
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827		
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2002	)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				M
<u></u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•		09/478,508	MIYATA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
4		David E Graybill	2827	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addre	ss
Period for	r Reply			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by states the period by the Office later than three months after the main digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) N type cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	6 September 2002 .		
1)⊠	·	This action is non-final.		
2a)⊠	Since this application is in condition for all		matters, prosecution as to the r	merits is
3)	closed in accordance with the practice und	ler Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
-	ion of Claims Claim(s) <u>16 and 17</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
, —	Claim(s) <u>16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/or election requirement		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an ion Papers	aror orodion roquironione		
• •	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
4 <i>\</i> \□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
الــا(١٠	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner	
نــا(۱	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	n reply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
131□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
a	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received	in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have l al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	peen received in this National S (a)).	Stage
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a ust of the certilled cobies	S NOT 16001404.	· application).
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.	as heen received	
15)	<ul> <li>a)    The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor</li> </ul>	e provisional application r mestic priority under 35 U	.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachme		A	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s	s)
2) \ \ No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	8) 5) Not	ice of Informal Patent Application (PTC	)-152)
	1. T. J. Office			2 No. 29

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/478,508

Art Unit: 2814

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/478,508

Art Unit: 2814

therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Okada (6111317).

At column 4, line 28 to column 5, line 45, Okada teaches the following:

16. A semiconductor device having a semiconductor chip 11, first electrodes 12 formed on said semiconductor chip, barrier metals formed on said first electrodes and having laminated structures, and a plurality of second protruded electrodes 17, which serve as external connection terminals, formed on said barrier metals, wherein said barrier metals comprising: a lowermost conductive metal layer 14 laminated on said first electrodes, said lowermost conductive metal layer having a joining property with said first electrodes; an intermediate conductive metal layer 16-1 laminated on said lowermost conductive metal layer, said intermediate conductive metal layer being made of nickel (Ni); and an uppermost conductive metal layer 16-1 laminated on said

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/478,508

Art Unit: 2814

intermediate conductive metal layer, said uppermost conductive metal layer being made of a material which easily alloys with the nickel of said intermediate conductive metal layer and which has resistance to oxidation, wherein said uppermost conductive metal layer is made of a metal selected from the group consisting of, platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), silver (Ag) and rhodium (Rh) or of an alloy containing a metal selected from the group consisting of gold (Au), platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), silver (Ag) and rhodium (Rh).

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada (6111317).

Okada is applied as it was applied to claim 16.

Although Okada does not appear to explicitly teach the particular claimed layer relative weight, at column 4, line 67 to column 5, line 5, Okada teaches that the quantity of the uppermost conductive metal layer is determined by process constraints. Additionally, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice bounded by well known manufacturing constraints and ascertainable by routine experimentation and optimization to choose this particular relative weight because applicant has not disclosed that the weight is for a particular unobvious purpose, produces an unexpected result, or is otherwise critical, and it appears prima facie that the process would possess utility using

Application/Control Number: 09/478,508

Art Unit: 2814

another relative weight. Indeed, it has been held that mere range and dimensional limitations are prima facie obvious absent a disclosure that the limitations are for a particular unobvious purpose, produce an unexpected result, or are otherwise critical. See, for example, In re Rose, 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Applicant's remarks filed 8-21-2 have been fully considered and are adequately addressed in the rejection supra.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/478,508 Page 6

Art Unit: 2814

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any telephone inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status (MPEP 203.08) of this application or proceeding should be directed to Group 2800 Customer Service whose telephone number is 703-306-3329.

Any telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (703) 308-2947. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is 703/308-7722.

David E. Graybill
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2827

D.G. 7-Nov-02